UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,876	10/12/2001	Anthony Rodrigo	NOKM.014PA	2171
Hollingsworth &	7590 03/24/200 & Funk. LLC	EXAMINER		
Suite 125		RUDY, ANDREW J		
8009 34th Aven Minneapolis, M	· -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/976,876	RODRIGO, ANTHONY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3687			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Dec 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-19,24-59 and 61 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2, 25-59 and 61 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-19 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Interview Summers	(PTO 442)			
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/976,876 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1, 2, 4-19 and 24 in the reply filed on December 26, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is not serious burden upon the examiner and the examiner has not met the burden required by the MPEP. This is not found persuasive because a divergent search would be required to search the different groups that are not deemed obvious. The Applicant may affirmatively state that all the groups are obvious variant of one another if so desired.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 25-59 and 61 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 26, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 2, 4-24 and 41-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginzboorg et al., US 6,047,051.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 3687

Ginzboorg discloses, e.g. Figs. 1-10, an independent billing server between an Internet service provider, e.g. Fig. 9b, S3, and nine different types of charging elements, e.g. charging messages, network elements, e.g. . Ginzboorg does not disclose the term bridge modules. However, Official Notice is taken that bridge modules have been common knowledge within the processing art. To have provides such for Ginzboorg would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. With regards to rules, the processor of Ginzboorg would inherently provide data processing rules in order to sift through any processing that is carried out. It is noted intended use claim language, e.g. for managing, capable of, is given little if any patentable weight in juxtaposition to positively recited claim language, e.g. coupling, a processor.

Applicant's December 26, 2007 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. Applicant's REMARKS do not fully coincide with the claim language.

Applicant argues terms that are not supported by the claim language. As such, it is not clear what specifically Applicant is arguing. Clarification of these REMARKS in juxtaposition with the claim language is required.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,876 Page 4

Art Unit: 3687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew Joseph Rudy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3687